The ATLAS Liquid Argon Calorimeter

Peter Krieger, University of Toronto



- Calorimeter Design/Construction
- Detector Integration Status
 & briefly....
- Testbeam Summary
- Commissioning Plans

The ATLAS Detector at the LHC



The ATLAS LAr and Tile Calorimeters



ATLAS EM Accordion Calorimeter

Electromagnetic Barrel (EMB)

Electromagnetic Endcap (EMEC)





IEEE NSS Puerto Rico, Oct 26, 2005

Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

ATLAS Electromagnetic Barrel Calorimeter



Detector design dictated by physics goals (high energy EM final states) e.g. $H^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, H^0 \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4e, W' \rightarrow ev, Z' \rightarrow ee$

Accordion structure chosen to ensure azimuthal uniformity (no cracks) Liquid argon chosen for radiation hardness and speed

Peter Krieger, University of Toronto IEEE NSS Puerto Rico, Oct 26, 2005

Electromagnetic Barrel Calorimeter



Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

ATLAS Barrel Cryostat (October 2004)



Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

Barrel Toroids Ready for Barrel Calorimeter



Calorimeter move to z=0 taking place today (Oct 26, 2005)

Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

Installation of LAr Cryogenics



The ATLAS Endcap Liquid Argon Calorimeter



ATLAS Hadronic Endcap Calorimeter

LAr-Cu sampling calorimeter covering $1.5 < \eta < 3.2$

Composed of 2 wheels per end, 32 modules per wheel





Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

Endcap Calorimeter Insertion into Cryostat





The FCal Calorimeter ($\eta = 3.2-4.9$)

Novel electrode structure → thin annular gaps formed by an tubes in an absorber matrix, which are filled with anode rods of slightly smaller radius Gap maintained by helically-wound radiation hard plastic fibre (PEEK) Three modules: 1 EM, 2Hadronic (ease of construction, depth segmentation)



	Туре	Absorber	Gap (µm)	Number of Electrodes
FCal1	EM	copper	250	12000
FCal2	HAD	tungsten	375	10000
FCal3	HAD	tungsten	500	8000

matrix and rods are part of the detector 'absorber' and are composed of the same material

Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

FCal2/3 Structure and Assembled Module



Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

Forward Calorimeter Assembly





Forward Calorimeter Installation



Forward Calorimeter Insertion



Peter Krieger, University of Toronto



Endcap Cryostat Move To LHC Point 1



Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

Liquid Argon Front-End Electronics



Common readout electronics for all LAr Calorimetry

except for cold (GaAs) preamplifier for Hadronic Endcap Calorimeter

Installation and testing of Front-End Crates currently underway in ATLAS cavern

Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

LAr Bipolar Signal Pulse Shaping

1000

900 800

700

600

500

400

300

200

100

90 80 70

60 -50 -20

serres noise us

30

40

50

60 70 80 90100

Naise (MeV)



physics pulse

Optimal shaping time is an optimization problem.

Pulse shape sampled every 25 ns (eg. once / bunch crossing)

Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

IEEE NSS Puerto Rico, Oct 26, 2005

200

 $t_{p}(\Delta)$ (ns)

pileup noise L=10

pileup noise L= 105,

Porollel noise

Electronic Calibration

Pulse height samples \rightarrow peak height via optimal filtering

- Optimal Filtering (OFC) coefficients E (ADC) = $\sum a_i$ (S_i PED)
- OFC calculation relies on detailed knowledge of the physics pulse shape
- Use calibration pulser: inject known current I_0 to calibrate response
- But Calibration pulse differs from physics pulse
 - Physics pulse: triangular
 - Calibration pulse: exponential





Calibration system requires detailed knowledge of the difference between the physics and calibration pulse shapes ADC[phys]/ADC[calib] for the same initial current I₀

This can be tricky.....

Procedure differs for different HEC, EM, FCal

LAr Calorimeter Testbeam Summary

Testbeam programme (recent):

- HEC/EMEC combined (2002)
- FCal Standalone (2003)

- (Combined π response)
- (FCal Calibration)
- > HEC/EMEC/FCal Combined (2004) (Combined Endcap Response)
- Barrel Combined Testbeam (2004) (Combined Barrel Response)

Testbeams have served multiple purposes:

- QC/QA during detector construction
- > Initial energy scale calibration: detector resolution, linearity
- Investigation of crack/dead material effects
- Exercise ATLAS electronics chain
- > Tests of online/offline monitoring/reconstruction software

Calorimeter Commissioning Plans

- Coldtesting on the surface after detector integration (complete)
- Testing (warm) in the in ATLAS Cavern
- Coldtesting in the ATLAS Cavern
- Electronic calibration, noise studies...
- Commissioning/integration of trigger/DAQ system
- Data taking with cosmic ray events begins in early 2006
 - LAr Barrel (early 2006)
 - LAr Endcaps (summer 2006)
- Commissioning with single-beams in 2007
- Commissioning with colliding beams in 2007

Backup Slides for Testbeam Setups

Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

Summary of Calorimeter Testbeams

2004 H8 Barrel CTB



Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

Barrel Combined Testbeam (ATLAS Full Slice)

Calorimeter component: Experimental setup:

- Tile barrel modules:
 - Three radial layers (1.4 λ , 3.9 λ and 1.8 λ each)
 - Total number of cells: 134
- LAr barrel module: •
 - Three radial layers + presampler (24 X_0 globally)
 - Total number of cells: 2031



Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

H8 Barrel Combined Testbeam Setup



Endcap Combined Testbeam (Crack Studies)



Forward cone ~ projective. Dead material

Same region is overlap of HEC and EMEC: loss of response



Peter Krieger, University of Toronto

HEC/EMEC FCAL combined test beam run

EMEC+HEC+FCAL Setup

Material studies

3.5

3.75



η



HEC/EMEC Combined Testbeam (2002)

Hadrons, electrons and muons: E(beam) = 6-200 GeV η = 1.6 – 1.8 90° impact angle (unlike ATLAS)

Results now published

NIM A531 (2004) 481



