arxiv: 1206.2929 Dan Hooper, Neal Weiner, XW arXiv: 1411.???? Jia Liu, Neal Weiner, XW

DARK PHOTON SEARCH AND DARK MATTER INDIRECT DETECTION

Wei XUE

Nov. 10, 2014

PLAN

1. Dark Photon Search

2. GeV Gamma Ray Excess in the Galatic Center statistical and systematical uncertainties

- 3. Dark Photon Portal Gamam Ray and Electrons
- 4. Constraints AMS02 constraints on 2e, 4e final states
- 5. Dark Scalar
- 6. Conclusion







We don't know

1. Dark matter mass axion meV, WIMP 100 GeV

2. Dark matter talks to Standard Model

4. ...

 Hidden Universe.
 One component or two components dark matter? composite field. excited dark matter. self-interact or not

However, we have constraints and some hints of dark matter from many observations

Vector Portal

1. Neutrino Portal, Higgs Portal, Vector Portal $H^+H(\lambda S^2 + \mu S)$ Higgs portal, Singlet scalar L H N neutrino portal $B_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu\nu}$ vector portal

2. Lagrangian and field redefinition $L = -1/4 (B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + F'_{\mu\nu}F'^{\mu\nu} - 2\epsilon B_{\mu\nu}F'^{\mu\nu}) + 1/2 m^2 A'_{\mu}A'^{\mu} - g_Y J_{\mu} B^{\mu}$ Field redefinition: $B^{\mu\nu} \approx B^{\mu\nu} + \epsilon F'^{\mu\nu}$, (mass basis) modify EM $\epsilon g_Y J_{\mu} A'_{\mu}$

3. E range

1-loop, ε ~ 10⁻⁴ **2-**loop, ε ~ 10⁻⁸



making dark photon

1. Bremsstrahlung

- 2 Direct
- 3. Meson decay $(\pi^{\circ} \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-)$





Beam Dump Experiments

1. beam dump experiments





2. Decay length of dark photon, (displaced vertex vs. prompt decay) decay length $\approx 0.8 \text{ cm} \times (E_0/10 \text{ GeV})$ $\times (10^{-4}/\epsilon)^2 (100 \text{ MeV}/m_{\text{A}'})^2$ decay length $\sim 1/(\epsilon \times m_{\text{A}'})^2$

$$N_{\gamma'} \simeq N_e \frac{N_0 X_0}{A} \int_{m_{\gamma'}}^{E_0 - m_e} dE_{\gamma'} \int_{E_{\gamma'} + m_e}^{E_0} dE_e \int_0^{T_{\rm sh}} dt_{\rm sh}$$
$$\left[I_e(E_0, E_e, t_{\rm sh}) \left. \frac{1}{E_e} \left. \frac{d\sigma}{dx_e} \right|_{x_e = \frac{E_{\gamma'}}{E_e}} e^{-L_{\rm sh}/l_{\gamma'}} \left(1 - e^{-L_{\rm dec}/l_{\gamma'}} \right) \right] \text{ BR}_{\rm detect}$$



Meson Decays

Low energy collider can produce large numbers of mesons.

I. reach estimation

$$\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{B}}} \approx \sqrt{n_X} \frac{\epsilon^2 \times \mathrm{BR}(X \to Y + \gamma) \times \mathrm{BR}(U \to \ell^+ \ell^-)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{BR}(X \to Y + \gamma^* \to Y + \ell^+ \ell^-)}} \sqrt{\frac{m_U}{\delta m} \log\left(\frac{m_X - m_Y}{2m_\ell}\right)}$$

$X \to YU$	n_X	$m_X - m_Y \; ({\rm MeV})$	$\mathrm{BR}(X \to Y + \gamma)$	$BR(X \to Y + \ell^+ \ell^-)$	$\epsilon \leq$
$\eta ightarrow \gamma U$	$n_\eta \sim 10^7$	547	2 imes 39.8%	6×10^{-4}	2×10^{-3}
$\omega \to \pi^0 U$	$n_\omega \sim 10^7$	648	8.9%	$7.7 imes 10^{-4}$	5×10^{-3}
$\phi \to \eta U$	$n_\phi \sim 10^{10}$	472	1.3%	1.15×10^{-4}	1×10^{-3}
$K^0_L \to \gamma U$	$n_{K_L^0} \sim 10^{11}$	497	$2 \times (5.5 \times 10^{-4})$	$9.5 imes 10^{-6}$	2×10^{-3}
$K^+ \to \pi^+ U$	$n_{K^+} \sim 10^{10}$	354	-	2.88×10^{-7}	7×10^{-3}
$K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu U$	$n_{K^+} \sim 10^{10}$	392	$6.2 imes 10^{-3}$	$7 \times 10^{-8 a}$	2×10^{-3}
$K^+ \to e^+ \nu U$	$n_{K^+} \sim 10^{10}$	496	$1.5 imes 10^{-5}$	2.5×10^{-8}	7×10^{-3}

M. Reece and L. T. Wang, JHEP 0907 (2009) 051

dark photon search



INDIRECT DETECTION

1. Galatic Center Excess statistical and systematical uncertainties

2. Dark Photon and Dark Scalar Model

3. Constraints

GeV Excess

L. Goodenough, D. Hooper, arXiv: 0910.2998
 D. Hooper, L. Goodenough, arXiv: 1010.2752
 D. Hooper, T. Linden, arXiv: 1110.0006
 K. Abazajian, M. Kaplinghat, arXiv: 1207.6047
 D. Hooper, T. Slatyer, arXiv: 1302.6589
 C. Gordon, O. Macias, arXiv: 1306.5725
 W. Huang, A. Urbano, XW arXiv: 1307.6862

T. Daylan, D. Finkbeiner, D. Hooper, &T. Linden, S. Portillo, N. Rodd, T. Slatyer arXiv: 1402.6703

Galactic Center 1. Galatic Center GeV excess



T. Daylan et al., (2014), 1402.6703

Template method

1. Diffuse Map, Fermi Bubbles, and dark matter templates





13

T. Daylan et al., (2014), 1402.6703

Template method

1. Diffuse Map, Fermi Bubbles, and dark matter templates





13

T. Daylan et al., (2014), 1402.6703

Galprop

1. Decay of π° (cosmic-ray protons striking on Interstellar medium)

2. Bremsstrahlung (fast moving electron scattering on the gas)

3. ICS from CR electrons scattering with ISRF







Galprop Test

15

1. Charged particles propagate in the galaxy



$$\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (D\nabla - v_c) N_i - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(\dot{p} - \frac{p}{3} \nabla \cdot v_c \right) N_i
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p^2 D_{pp} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{N_i}{p^2} + Q_i(p, r, z)
+ \sum_{j>i} \beta n_{gas}(r, z) \sigma_{ji} N_j - \beta n_{gas} \sigma_i^{in}(E_k) N_i$$

- 1. acceleration
- 2. Diffusion
- 3. Energy loss
- 4. Convection
- 5. Re-acceleration
- 6. Spallation

Galprop test

1. What we can learn from Galprop test

F. Calore, I. Cholis, and C. Weniger, (2014), 1409.0042

2. Limitation

1.5

Constant Diffusion coefficient (no R dependent) Local cosmic ray fit Gas Map



Alternatives and Constraints

I. Pulsars



2. Dwarfs



Brandon Anderson, Stockholm University | 5th Fermi Symposium

17



$\chi + \chi \rightarrow b + b$ (hydronic Channel)

1. The first model you think is Higgs portal dark matter, but it is ruled out by dark matter direct detection



our model

 dark matter is fermion. dark matter mass > dark photon mass its cross section and thermal relic does not depend on ε σv_{XX→φφ} ≃ πα_X²/m_X² ≈ 3×10⁻²⁶ cm³/s (g_X/0.06)⁴ (10 GeV/m_X)²
 GeV dark photon, Cascade decay to leptons and mesons



Photon Spectrum Computation

1. Branching ratio (data driven method is employed)

			m_{ϕ}	mode	DL					
m_{ϕ}	Mode	BF	8 GeV	$\phi^+ \to e^+ e^-$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-1}$					
200 MeV	$\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$	1		$\phi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-1}$					
500 MeV	$\phi \to e^+ e^-$	$4 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-1}$					
	$\phi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$4 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \rightarrow u \bar{u}$	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-1}$					
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$2 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \to dd$	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$					
1.2 GeV	$\phi \to e^+ e^-$	$3.4 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \to c\bar{c}$	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-1}$					
	$\phi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$3.3 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \to s \bar{s}$	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$					
	$\phi \to \omega \pi^0$	$7.9 \cdot 10^{-2}$							_	
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1						-	
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$6.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$			TY					ee
	$\phi \to K^+ K^-$	$4.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.1			- size-size				μц
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.1			H. S.				$\pi^+\pi^-$
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$		1			•-			K ⁺ K ⁻
	$\phi \to K^0 K^0$	$5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.01						<u> </u>	$\mathbf{K}_{0}\mathbf{K}_{0}$
			2	A .			1 ~ Le	1	V	$\omega \pi^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0 + \gamma$
			B				1-1-	1 · ·	_	$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$
			0.001				+/		· -	$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$
				ΪV						$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
2. Boost Spectrum		10-4				1			$\pi^0\gamma$	
		10 .	· · · /			i		/:	ηγ	
to DM frame						i				
			10^{-5}							
				500	1000	1500	2000	2500	5000	

dark photon mass (MeV)

Results



 $5. \times 10^{-6}$ $1. \times 10^{-6}$ $5. \times 10^{-6}$ $1. \times 10^{-6}$ 0.5 1.0 5.0 10.0 50.0 100.0 $E_{\gamma} (\text{GeV})$

Dark force mass 0.4 GeV.
 φ → 2e, 2µ, 2π
 Dark force mass 0.59 GeV.
 φ → 2e, 2µ, 2π, 3π, π°γ, ηγ
 η→ 39.31 % γγ, 32.56% η→ 3π°, 22.73% η→ π⁺π⁻π°

Dark photon global best fit

Result



Best fit region is $m_{\chi}(5.5 - 8 \text{ GeV}) \ m_{\phi} (0.2 - 0.8 \text{ GeV})$. In this region, the BR is dominated by 2e $2\mu 2\pi$, but the photon spectrum is dominated by 2e, $\pi\gamma$, $\eta\gamma$.

Constraints

The constrains on light dark mediator models are mainly relatedto its mixing parameter $A' \rightarrow$ Standard Model

collider
 direct detection
 Anti-proton







Dark Matter or Pulsar??

ASM-02

- 1. Precise Measurement of positron flux, electron flux, positron ratio
- 2. For the Smooth spectrum,
 Could we put bounds on dark matter? before AMS-02
 D. Hooper, XW arXiv:1210.1220





AMS-02 Constraint

1. L. Bergstrom, T. Bringmann, I. Cholis, D. Hooper, C. Weniger arXiv: 1306.3983



AMS-02

1. L. Bergstrom, T. Bringmann, I. Cholis, D. Hooper, C. Weniger arXiv: 1306.3983



Uncertainties from Propagation

1. Charged particles propagate in the galaxy



$$\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (D\nabla - v_c) N_i - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(\dot{p} - \frac{p}{3} \nabla \cdot v_c \right) N_i
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p^2 D_{pp} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{N_i}{p^2} + Q_i(p, r, z)
+ \sum_{j>i} \beta n_{gas}(r, z) \sigma_{ji} N_j - \beta n_{gas} \sigma_i^{in}(E_k) N_i$$

- 1. acceleration
- 2. Diffusion
- 3. Energy loss
- 4. Convection
- 5. Re-acceleration
- 6. Spallation

AMS-02

- 1. surprisingly, dm + dm -> 4e is as strong as 2e
- 2. We consider the uncertainties from solar modulation.
- 3. Implication : 10 GeV DM, 10 % BR of dm dm -> 2e or 4e is ruled out AMS-02???



Photon Spectrum Computation

1. Branching ratio (data driven method is employed)

			Π_{ϕ}	Mode	Dr					
m_{ϕ}	Mode	BF	8 GeV	$\phi^+ \to e^+ e^-$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-1}$					
200 MeV	$\phi \to e^+ e^-$	1		$\phi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-1}$					
500 MeV	$\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$	$4 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	$ 1.6 \cdot 10^{-1} $					
	$\phi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$4 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \rightarrow u \bar{u}$	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-1}$					
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$2 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \to d\bar{d}$	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$					
1.2 GeV	$\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$	$3.4 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \to c \bar{c}$	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-1}$					
	$\phi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$3.3 \cdot 10^{-1}$		$\phi \to s \bar{s}$	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$					
	$\phi \to \omega \pi^0$	$7.9 \cdot 10^{-2}$			1					
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1						•••]	
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$6.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$	-		The -					66
	$\phi \to K^+ K^-$	$4.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$								
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.1	e de la competencia de		and a second second	·~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~		$\pi^{\mu\mu}$
	$\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$				and any			<u></u>	$\mathbf{K}^+\mathbf{K}^-$
	$\phi \to K^0 \bar{K}^0$	$5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.01		- i ~ 🕺 📈					
			2 0.01				1-4.	/	· \ \	$\Lambda \Lambda$ $\omega \pi^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0 \pm \omega$
			B				W-1-	7	~ -	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
			0.001	/			·	/		$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$
				1						$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$
2 Boost Spectrum		4							$\pi^0 \gamma$	
2. Doost opeetrum			10 ⁻⁴	/					\i	
to DM frame							1		N T	<i>'11</i>
U		iii C	10-5							
			10 (500	1000	1500	2000	2500	3000	

31

dark photon mass (MeV)

Dark Photon in trouble?

- 1. DM in the Galactic Center not only generate gamma ray, but also populate electrons and positrons.
- 2. The propagated electron scattering with gas and ISR will also has Bremsstrahlung and Inverse Compton Scatter.
- 3. Release the tension with Dwarf galaxy



32

other possibilities (dark scalar)

Derive the branching ratio from chiral perturbation theory



dark scalar (best fit)



1. Dark force mass 1.2 GeV. $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-, 2K^\circ, 2\pi^\circ, 2\eta$ 2. Dark force mass 0.25 GeV. $\phi \rightarrow 2e, 2\mu, 2\pi^\circ$

dark scalar fit to data



1. $m_{\chi}(5.5 - 7.5 \text{ GeV}) \ m_{\phi} (0 - 0.2 \text{ GeV}) \ \phi \rightarrow 2e$ 2. $m_{\chi}(12.5 - 22 \text{ GeV}) \ m_{\phi} (0.2 - 0.3 \text{ GeV}) \ \phi \rightarrow 2\mu \ \text{BF}\sim20$ 3. $m_{\chi}(5.3 - 8.5 \text{ GeV}) \ m_{\phi} (1.1 - 1.5 \text{ GeV}) \ \phi \rightarrow \text{K}^+\text{K}^-, 2\text{K}^\circ, 2\pi^\circ, 2\eta$

other possibility (heavy dark photon)





log

0.5

Majorana DM

2

2.5

3

3.5

1.5

1405.7691 Cline, Dupuis,Liu, XW

36

Conclusion

1. Dark photon search Beam dump, fixed target, Collider

2. GeV excess

3. Dark photon GeV and dark matter 10 GeV

4. AMSo2 Constraints

5. Dark Scalar and heavy dark photon





Limit name	Type	Reaction			
$(g-2)_{\mu}$	(g-2)				
$(g-2)_e$ vs α	(g-2)				
E141	e^- beam dump	$e(A,Z) \rightarrow e(A,Z)l^+l^-$			
E137	e^- beam dump	$e(A,Z) \rightarrow e(A,Z)l^+l^-$			
E774	e^- beam dump	$e(A,Z) \rightarrow e(A,Z)l^+l^-$			
KEK	e^- beam dump	$e(A,Z) \rightarrow e(A,Z)l^+l^-$			
SN	Supernova reminiscents				
BABAR	Collider	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma l^+l^-$			
ν -Cal I	p beam dump	$pp \to \gamma' X$			
MAMI 2011	e^- fixed-target	$e(A,Z) \rightarrow e(A,Z)l^+l^-$			
APEX Test	e^- fixed-target	$e(A,Z) \rightarrow e(A,Z)l^+l^-$			
KLOE 2011	Meson decay	$\phi \to \eta e^+ e^-$			
Orsay	e^- beam dump	$e(A,Z) \rightarrow e(A,Z)l^+l^-$			
BABAR	Meson decay	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma l^+l^-$			
NOMAD	Meson decay	$\pi^0 o \gamma l^+ l^-$			
PS191	Meson decay	$\pi^0 o \gamma l^+ l^-$			
CHARM	Meson decay	$\eta/\eta' o \gamma l^+ l^-$			
KLOE 2012	Meson decay	$\phi \to \eta e^+ e^-$			
SINDRUM	Meson decay	$\pi^0 ightarrow e^+ e^-$			
WASA	Meson decay	$\pi^0 \to \gamma e^+ e^-$			
HADES	Meson decay	$\pi^0/\eta \to \gamma e^+ e^-$			
HADES	Resonance decay	$\Delta \to N e^+ e^-$			
ν -Cal I	p beam dump	$pp \to \gamma' X$			

dark photon decay



 $R(s) = \sigma(e^+e^- \to hadrons, s) / \sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-, s)$





Beam Dump Exps

	tanmat	E_0	N_{ϵ}	$L_{\rm sh}$	$L_{\rm dec}$	λ7	$N_{95\%\mathrm{up}}$	
target		[GeV]	$\#_{ m electrons}$	Coulomb	[m]	[m]		IV _{obs}
KEK	$^{183.84}_{~74}\mathrm{W}$	2.5	1.69×10^{17}	$27 \mathrm{mC}$	2.4	2.2	0	3
E141	$^{183.84}_{74}\mathrm{W}$	9	2×10^{15}	$0.32 \mathrm{mC}$	0.12	35	1126^{+1312}_{-1126}	3419
E137	$^{26.98}_{13}\mathrm{Al}$	20	1.87×10^{20}	30 C	179	204	0	3
Orsay	$^{183.84}_{74}\mathrm{W}$	1.6	2×10^{16}	3.2 mC	1	2	0	3
E774	$^{183.84}_{74}\mathrm{W}$	275	5.2×10^{9}	0.83 nC	0.3	2	0^{+9}_{-0}	18



Collider or Fi



1. signal vs background







 $\overline{Z(p)}$

 $e^{-}(k)$

Z(p)



Beam Dump Exp

1. beam dump experiments



2. Decay length of dark photon, (displaced vertex vs. prompt decay) decay length $\approx 0.8 \text{ cm} \times (\text{E}_0/10 \text{ GeV}) (10^{-4}/\epsilon)^2 (100 \text{ MeV}/m_{\text{A}'})^2$ decay length $\sim 1/(\epsilon \times m_{\text{A}'})^2$

Beam Dump Reach Plot

$$N_{\gamma'} \simeq N_e \frac{N_0 X_0}{A} \int_{m_{\gamma'}}^{E_0 - m_e} dE_{\gamma'} \int_{E_{\gamma'} + m_e}^{E_0} dE_e \int_0^{T_{\rm sh}} dt_{\rm sh}$$
$$\left[I_e(E_0, E_e, t_{\rm sh}) \left. \frac{1}{E_e} \left. \frac{d\sigma}{dx_e} \right|_{x_e = \frac{E_{\gamma'}}{E_e}} e^{-L_{\rm sh}/l_{\gamma'}} \left(1 - e^{-L_{\rm dec}/l_{\gamma'}} \right) \right] \text{ BR}_{\rm detect}$$

 $1/l_{\gamma'} \propto (\epsilon m_{A'})^2$ $\sigma \propto (\epsilon/m_{A'})^2$

		E_0	N	$L_{\rm sh}$	$L_{\rm dec}$), T	$N_{95\%\mathrm{up}}$	
	target	[GeV]	#electrons Coulomb		[m]	[m]		$N_{\rm obs}$
KEK	$^{183.84}_{74}\mathrm{W}$	2.5	1.69×10^{17}	27 mC	2.4	2.2	0	3
E141	$^{183.84}_{~74}\mathrm{W}$	9	2×10^{15}	$0.32 \mathrm{mC}$	0.12	35	1126^{+1312}_{-1126}	3419
E137	$^{26.98}_{13}\mathrm{Al}$	20	1.87×10^{20}	30 C	179	204	0	3
Orsay	$^{183.84}_{74}\mathrm{W}$	1.6	2×10^{16}	3.2 mC	1	2	0	3
E774	$^{183.84}_{74}\mathrm{W}$	275	5.2×10^{9}	0.83 nC	0.3	2	0^{+9}_{-0}	18



Summary

1. Beam dump : upper and lower limit

2. fixed target and collider
 trident process is the background _ψ

S/√B ∝ (N ε⁴)^{1/2} ε≲10⁻⁴



Collider or Fi



1. signal vs background







 $\overline{Z(p)}$

 $e^{-}(k)$

Z(p)



PLAN

1. Dark Photon Search

2. GeV Gamma Ray Excess in the Galatic Center statistical and systematical uncertainties

- 3. Dark Photon Portal Gamam Ray and Electrons
- 4. Constraints AMS02 constraints on 2e, 4e final states
- 5. Dark Matter Bremsstrahlung + Dark Matter ICS

6. Dark Scalar

7. Conclusion