Quantum interference control of currents in CdSe with a single optical beam
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We show that ballistic current generation can occur in a semiconductor via quantum interference between absorption pathways for orthogonal polarization components of a single-frequency beam. This effect occurs for a subset of noncentrosymmetric materials, is macroscopically associated with a second-order nonlinear optical susceptibility, and produces current injection linearly proportional to the beam intensity. We demonstrate this in wurtzite CdSe ($E_g = 1.75$ eV) at 295 K using cw and femtosecond optical sources of wavelength 600–750 nm (2.07–1.66 eV). The intensity and spectral dependence are in reasonable agreement with a first-principles calculation. Continuous current density of $30 \mu A \text{cm}^{-2}$ is produced for $60 \text{mW cm}^{-2}$ intensity at 633 nm. © 1999 American Institute of Physics. [S0003-6951(99)00843-8]

The use of quantum interference to control the properties of semiconductors via phased optical beams has been a subject of growing interest in recent years.1–6 Previously, our group has shown that interference between one- and two-photon absorption amplitudes, for beams of frequency $\omega$ and $2\omega$ with $\hbar \omega < E_g < 2\hbar \omega$, can inject current in bulk, crystalline semiconductors of band gap $E_g$. The magnitude and direction of the current can be controlled by adjusting the beams’ relative phase. In the context of macroscopic nonlinear optics, the current injection process can be related to a physically divergent component of $\text{Im} \chi_3 (\omega \omega \omega, 2\omega - \omega \omega)$. Since this phenomenon is based on a fourth-rank tensor, it can occur in centro- and noncentrosymmetric materials. An obvious question arises: Can current be injected and coherently controlled via a lower-order nonlinear process? Here, we show that for a subset of noncentrosymmetric crystals, current injection can indeed occur via quantum interference of absorption processes involving orthogonally polarized components of a single color beam, at frequency $\omega$, for $\hbar \omega > E_g$. Related processes involving anisotropic carrier scattering and photovoltaic effects in doped and undoped materials have been previously discussed phenomenologically8 under the name of “circular photocurrent.” However, the connection to nonlinear optics and coherence control was not made. We do so here for processes involving band–band absorption.

In considering how a single beam with electric field $\mathbf{E}(t) = E(\omega) \exp(-i\omega t) + \text{c.c.}$ can inject an electron (e) or hole (h) current density ($\mathbf{J}_{e,h}$), phenomenologically,8 the lowest-order process must be of the form

$$\frac{d\mathbf{J}_{e,h}}{dt} = \eta^{ijk}_{e,h}(\omega) E^i(\omega) E^j(-\omega) + \text{c.c.},$$

(1)

where the superscripts are Cartesian components and are summed over when repeated; $\omega$ is taken to be positive and the fields are evaluated inside the medium. To derive the tensor $\eta^{ijk}_{e,h}(\omega)$ from the microscopic transition amplitudes we used both a simple Fermi’s Golden Rule calculation3 and the general nonlinear susceptibility formalism9–11 within an independent particle approximation. Both calculations yield the result

$$\eta^{ijk}_{e}(\omega) = \frac{\pi e^3}{2 \hbar^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}} \mathbf{v}_c^e(\mathbf{k}) \left( r^l_{c\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}) \right) r^k_{c\mathbf{k'}}(\mathbf{k}) \delta(\omega_c(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_0 - \omega),$$

(2)

where the subscripts $c$ and $\mathbf{v}$ refer to conduction and valence bands, $\mathbf{r}_{cm}(\mathbf{k})$ is the interband matrix element of the position operator, and $V$ is the normalization volume of the crystal. The tensor $\eta^{ijk}_{e}(\omega)$ is found by replacing the velocity matrix element $\mathbf{v}_c^e(\mathbf{k})$ with $-\mathbf{v}_{c\mathbf{k}}^e(\mathbf{k})$.

From the expression for $\eta^{ijk}_{e}(\omega)$, based on time-reversal symmetry one can show that $(\eta^{ijk}_{e}(\omega))^* = -\eta^{ijk}_{e}(\omega)$, i.e., $\eta^{ijk}_{e}(\omega)$ is purely imaginary, and $\eta^{ijk}_{h}(\omega) = -\eta^{ijk}_{e}(\omega)$. Within the context of nonlinear optics,7,11 for a cold, clean semiconductor and neglecting relaxation effects, $\chi_3 (\omega \omega \omega; \omega + \omega_2, -\omega \omega \omega)$, we can write a coherent control process is similar to the two-beam process in that it is also related to a doubly divergent piece of a nonlinear susceptibility. The calculation of $\eta^{ijk}_{e}(\omega)$ from Fermi’s Golden Rule makes the physics of this current injection clear: It arises from the interference of two one-photon absorption processes corresponding to orthogonal polarizations of the incident light, as indicated in Fig. 1(a). Since $\eta_{c,h}$ is purely imaginary, Eq. (1) can be written

$$\frac{d\mathbf{J}_{c,h}}{dt} = -2 \left( \text{Im} \mathbf{E}^i(\omega) \right) \mathbf{E}^k(\omega) \sin(\phi^i - \phi^k),$$

(3)

where $\mathbf{E}^i(\omega) = |\mathbf{E}^i(\omega)| \exp(i\phi^i)$, etc. It is clear that only elliptically polarized beams will induce current flow, and that right- and left-circularly polarized light will inject current in opposite directions. Relaxation effects neglected in Eq. (3) can be included phenomenologically by adding a term $-J_{c,h} \tau_{c,h}$ to the right-hand side, where $\tau_{c,h}$ represents the current (intraband) momentum relaxation time for electrons.
and holes. The total current is $J = J_e + J_h$, and the total current injection tensor can be written $\eta = \eta_e + \eta_h$.

Since $\eta_{e,h}$ is a third-rank tensor, it is nonzero only in materials without center-of-inversion symmetry. Of the 32 crystal classes, 21 lack center-of-inversion symmetry. But, since $\eta_{e,h}$ is antisymmetric in its last two Cartesian indices, it only survives for 18 of the crystal classes. Unfortunately, bulk zinc-blende semiconductors such as GaAs belong to one of the crystal classes for which $\eta_{e,h}$ vanishes. Nonetheless, $\eta_{e,h}$ is nonzero for the wurtzite structure, into which many of the II–VI semiconductors crystallize. One of these, CdSe, is commercially available and with $E_g = 1.75$ eV can be used with visible light sources. We make use of the non-zero $\eta_{e,h}^{\text{m,b}}(\omega)$ element, where $z$ is taken parallel to the hexagonal axis. Current then can be collected along the $y$ direction using a configuration as shown in Fig. 1(b).

Unlike two-beam coherent control,\(^3\) where balance of single- and two-photon absorption rates can optimize interference process with nearly all the carriers moving in one direction, in the one-beam process the independent ($y,z$) absorption rates and their interference are both proportional to intensity $I_w$, so that the efficacy is intensity independent but may depend on $\omega$. The carrier distribution asymmetry in momentum space is related to intrinsic crystal properties, in this case, how “noncentrosymmetric” the crystal is. For CdSe the efficacy is estimated to be 10%; i.e., the maximum current is 10% of the value obtained if all injected carriers were traveling in one direction with their injected speed (for the electrons, this speed is $\sim 100$ km s$^{-1}$ for $\omega = 2.0$ eV). However, unlike the two-beam process the injected ballistic current scales with the intensity of the incident light. This, along with the large size of $\eta_{e,h}^{\text{m,b}}(\omega)$ ($\sim 5 \times 10^8$ A V$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 630 nm), and for $\tau_{e,h} = 4 \times 10^{-14}$ s, gives a steady-state ($J \sim 2 \mu$ A cm$^{-2}$ for intensity $I = 1$ m W cm$^{-2}$) meaning that currents can be observed using a low-power continuous-wave source.

The experimental configuration we used to demonstrate single-beam current injection at 295 K is given in Fig. 1(b). A single crystal of high resistivity CdSe (10 mm × 10 mm × 1 mm) had deposited silver electrodes placed 1.3 mm apart with the hexagonal axis located in the plane of the face and perpendicular to the gap direction. Light from a 3 mW, 0.63 $\mu$m (1.96 eV) He–Ne laser was passed through a variable attenuator and focused at normal incidence onto the CdSe to generate current across the gap (parallel to the crystal $y$ axis). A Soleil–Babinet compensator was used to control the polarization state. The current can be injected into the electrodes by illuminating the entire gap or it can induce charges on the electrodes by illuminating only a region of the sample within the gap (voltage injection associated with charge separation); for the same incident power the induced voltage is, typically, 3–5 times smaller in the latter case. For inside-gap illumination, the induced steady-state voltage ($V$) measured as a function of $(\phi_1 - \phi_2)$,\(^4\) with a lock-in amplifier, is shown in Fig. 2(a).\(^5\) The current has maximum amplitude for circular polarization but changes direction for right and left states, as expected. As Fig. 2(b) shows, the steady-state voltage varies as $V \propto J^{0.78}$. The departure from linearity may be related to several factors such as a non-Ohmic electrode response and trap dynamics during steady excitation. Also, the experiments measure the steady-state cumulative charge and not $dJ/dt$ directly. We have determined the magnitude of $\eta$ by illuminating the entire gap and treating the sample as a leaky capacitor under steady state. With an average intensity of 60 mW cm$^{-2}$ along the electrode edge, we measure a voltage modulation amplitude of 110 $\mu$V. From the knowl-
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FIG. 1. (a) Schematic diagram of single-beam interference via band–band absorption involving different polarization components; (b) experimental configuration used for current generation in CdSe.

FIG. 2. (a) Phase dependent current in CdSe generated with a 0.63 $\mu$m beam with polarization state indicated. (b) Variation of current signal with intensity.
edge of the absorption coefficient\textsuperscript{16} (5.5\times10^4 cm\textsuperscript{-1}), the resistance of the illuminated area, 2.0 M\textOmega, and the illuminated electrode length, 1.1 mm, we calculate a current density of \( J = 30 \mu A \text{cm}^{-2} \). This translates into \( \eta^{yyz} \approx 1 \times 10^5 \text{A V}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) as compared with a theoretical value\textsuperscript{17} of \( 5 \times 10^5 \text{A V}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \). Given the nature of the experiments and calculations, we regard the agreement as good. We anticipate that part of any discrepancy is due to lack of exact knowledge of charging and discharge dynamics, including electrode collection efficiency; for the deposited electrodes, it is possible that not all directed charge produced within the absorption depth is collected. Note, however, that the current generated per carrier created is substantial.

To determine the spectral response of the current injection process we used a 250 kHz repetition rate, 130 fs source tunable from 600 to 750 nm (2.07–1.66 eV).\textsuperscript{3} Figure 3 shows the induced steady-state voltage as a function of photon energy at constant photon flux shows the induced steady-state voltage as a function of photon energy. The magnitude of the response, the discrepancy may reflect that the current does not measure \( \eta^{yyz} \) directly but may also reflect electrode effects and the variation of the absorption depth with photon energy. The magnitude of these voltages should not be compared to the cw voltages, since both the circuit dynamics and microscopic current behave differently on the different time scales.

In summary, we have demonstrated a single-beam technique for coherently generating and controlling current flow in semiconductors in the absence of a dc electrical bias. The current amplitude varies nearly linearly with beam intensity, indicating possible applications in polarization-controlled devices. The magnitude and spectral dependence of the current response are in reasonable agreement with a first-principles calculation after corrections for charge collection geometry are considered.
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\caption{Calculated spectral dependence of \( \eta^{yyz} \) in CdSe. The data represent the spectral dependence of current injection using a 130 fs optical parametric amplifier; the vertical scales have been chosen to yield a common peak amplitude.}
\end{figure}
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